

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

§
§
§
§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:09-2198-HFF-BM
§
§
§
§

## **ORDER**

This case was filed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action. Petitioner is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Respondent's motion for summary judgment be granted, and that the Petition be dismissed, with prejudice. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on March 8, 2010, but Petitioner failed to file any

objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that Respondent's motion for summary judgment be **GRANTED**, and that the Petition

be **DISMISSED**, with prejudice.

This Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability as Petitioner has failed to make a

substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Thus, to the extent that Petitioner requests

such a certificate, that request is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 30th day of March, 2010, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from

the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2